INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MACHINE LEARNING AND ENGINEERING

Vol 1 Issue 3 (2025)

https://ijmle.org/

Intersectionality in Social Justice Movements: Theory, Practice, and Challenges

Violeta Buivydienė
Researcher on language and social inclusion of international students at Vilnius Tech (Lithuania)
Email: *violeta.buivydiene@vilniustech.lt*
Abstract:

Intersectionality, a concept introduced by Kimberlé Crenshaw, provides a critical framework for understanding how overlapping social identities such as race, gender, class, and sexuality interact to produce unique experiences of oppression and privilege. This article examines the role of intersectionality within social justice movements, analyzing how it shapes activism, advocacy, and policy-making. It explores the ways intersectional approaches enhance inclusivity and address multiple axes of marginalization simultaneously. The paper also highlights tensions and challenges in applying intersectionality in practice, including issues of representation, coalition-building, and institutional resistance. Drawing on case studies from feminist, racial justice, LGBTQ+, and disability rights movements, the study offers recommendations for more effective, inclusive social justice activism.

Keywords: *Intersectionality; Social justice; Activism; Marginalization; Feminism; Race; Gender; LGBTQ+; Disability rights; Coalition-building*

INTRODUCTION

Social justice movements seek to address inequalities and injustices across society. However, many traditional movements have been critiqued for overlooking the complexity of identities and experiences among their constituents. Intersectionality, first coined by Kimberlé Crenshaw in 1989, offers a lens to understand how various forms of oppression interlock and cannot be examined in isolation. This article investigates the integration of intersectionality within social justice movements, demonstrating how it informs strategies, challenges existing power structures, and fosters solidarity among diverse groups. It also addresses obstacles activists face when adopting intersectional frameworks.

1. Foundations of Intersectionality

Origins and Development of the Concept

Intersectionality emerged as a critical framework to understand how multiple social identities—such as race, gender, class, and sexuality—intersect to produce unique experiences of oppression and privilege. Coined by legal scholar Kimberlé Crenshaw in 1989, the term initially addressed how Black women face overlapping discrimination that is not adequately captured by analyzing

race or gender in isolation. Since then, intersectionality has expanded across disciplines, becoming a foundational concept in feminist theory, critical race studies, sociology, and beyond.

Key Theoretical Contributions

Kimberlé Crenshaw: Crenshaw's seminal work emphasized the limitations of single-axis frameworks, particularly in law and policy, which overlook how intersecting identities shape discrimination. She introduced the metaphor of a traffic intersection to illustrate how various forms of oppression converge and interact.

Patricia Hill Collins: Collins further developed intersectionality through the concept of the "matrix of domination," highlighting how power operates through interconnected systems of race, gender, class, and other axes. She stressed the importance of Black feminist thought and lived experience in shaping knowledge production.

Other scholars, such as bell hooks, Audre Lorde, and Cherríe Moraga, have contributed to intersectional theory by centering marginalized voices and emphasizing the role of identity, culture, and resistance.

Intersectionality vs. Single-Axis Analysis

Unlike single-axis analysis, which examines social categories separately (e.g., only race or only gender), intersectionality insists on analyzing how these categories overlap and interact dynamically. This approach reveals complexities in social inequalities and challenges reductive explanations. For example, policies addressing gender discrimination alone may fail to protect women of color, who experience compounded forms of bias. Intersectionality thus calls for more nuanced, inclusive frameworks in research, activism, and policymaking.

2. Intersectionality in Feminist Movements

Black Feminism and Critique of Mainstream Feminism

Black feminism emerged as a response to the limitations of mainstream feminist movements that often centered the experiences of white, middle-class women while neglecting issues faced by women of color. Black feminists highlighted how race, gender, class, and other identities intersect to shape unique forms of oppression. They challenged the universalizing tendencies of early feminism, advocating for a more inclusive approach that addresses the diversity of women's experiences and systemic inequalities.

Inclusive Feminist Praxis and Leadership

Intersectionality has informed more inclusive feminist practices that recognize and address multiple axes of identity and power. This approach emphasizes coalition-building across different groups, participatory leadership, and centering marginalized voices. Inclusive feminist praxis involves creating spaces where diverse perspectives are valued and where activism tackles the interconnectedness of race, gender, class, sexuality, and other social categories.

Case Studies: Combahee River Collective and #MeToo Movement

The **Combahee River Collective**, a Black feminist lesbian organization active in the 1970s and 1980s, is foundational in articulating intersectional politics. Their statement emphasized the importance of addressing multiple oppressions simultaneously and has influenced feminist thought and activism.

The **#MeToo movement**, originating to highlight sexual violence against women of color, exemplifies intersectional feminist activism in the digital age. It brought global attention to the ways race, gender, and power intersect in experiences of harassment and assault, prompting calls for more inclusive conversations and policies around gender-based violence.

3. Race, Ethnicity, and Intersectional Justice

Intersectionality and Racial Justice Activism

Intersectionality provides a crucial lens for racial justice activism by recognizing that experiences of racial oppression are not uniform but shaped by intersections with gender, class, sexuality, immigration status, and other identities. This approach challenges single-issue activism and calls for addressing the complex realities of marginalized communities. Intersectional racial justice advocates strive to highlight and dismantle overlapping systems of discrimination to create more inclusive and effective social change.

Addressing Colorism, Immigration Status, and Systemic Racism

Beyond overt racial discrimination, intersectional justice addresses nuanced forms such as colorism—prejudice based on skin tone within racial groups—and the layered challenges faced by immigrants, including legal status and cultural exclusion. These factors compound systemic racism and affect access to resources, social mobility, and representation. Effective racial justice efforts consider these dimensions to ensure that policies and activism do not leave behind the most vulnerable populations.

Black Lives Matter and Other Contemporary Movements

The **Black Lives Matter (BLM)** movement exemplifies intersectional racial justice by foregrounding issues of police violence and systemic racism while also addressing gender, sexuality, and economic inequality within Black communities. BLM's leadership and activism reflect diverse identities and advocate for holistic social justice reforms.

Other contemporary movements, such as Indigenous rights campaigns and Latinx immigrant justice initiatives, similarly employ intersectional frameworks to address interconnected oppressions and push for equitable policy changes.

4. Gender and LGBTQ+ Rights Movements

Intersection of Gender Identity, Sexual Orientation, and Race

Gender identity and sexual orientation are deeply intertwined with race, class, and other social categories, shaping diverse experiences of marginalization and resilience. Intersectionality highlights how LGBTQ+ individuals of color face compounded discrimination not only from broader society but sometimes within LGBTQ+ communities themselves. Recognizing these intersections is crucial for understanding the varied challenges faced by queer people across different backgrounds.

Trans-Inclusive Activism and Challenges Within Broader Movements

Transgender rights activism has gained visibility, yet trans individuals, particularly trans people of color, continue to encounter systemic barriers including violence, healthcare discrimination, and economic marginalization. Mainstream feminist and LGBTQ+ movements have sometimes excluded or sidelined trans issues, prompting calls for more inclusive and intersectional approaches that address the full spectrum of gender diversity and experiences.

Queer of Color Critique

The **Queer of Color Critique**, developed by scholars and activists like Cathy J. Cohen and José Esteban Muñoz, examines how mainstream LGBTQ+ politics often neglect the racialized dimensions of queer life. This critique calls attention to the need for movements that integrate racial justice with queer liberation, emphasizing community-based activism that centers marginalized voices and challenges dominant norms within both queer and racial justice struggles.

5. Disability Justice and Intersectionality

Disability as an Intersecting Identity

Disability is not a standalone category but intersects with race, gender, class, sexuality, and other identities, shaping the experiences of disabled individuals in complex ways. Intersectionality

recognizes that disabled people of color, disabled women, LGBTQ+ disabled individuals, and those from marginalized socioeconomic backgrounds often face compounded barriers and discrimination that differ from those experienced by disabled people as a homogenous group.

Disability Justice Frameworks and Critiques of Ableism

Disability justice expands beyond traditional disability rights by emphasizing the interconnected nature of social justice struggles. It critiques ableism—the systemic discrimination and social prejudice against disabled people—while also highlighting how ableism overlaps with racism, sexism, and other oppressions. Disability justice advocates for collective liberation, centering the leadership and voices of multiply marginalized disabled people, and challenging dominant narratives that pathologize or isolate disability.

Accessibility and Inclusion in Social Justice Organizing

For social justice movements to be truly inclusive, accessibility must be a foundational principle. This involves not only physical accessibility but also accessible communication, policy-making, and leadership opportunities. Intersectional disability justice promotes practices that ensure disabled people can fully participate in activism and community life, recognizing the diversity within disability communities and striving to dismantle barriers across social justice arenas.

6. Coalition Building and Solidarity

Strategies for Inclusive Coalition-Building

Inclusive coalition-building requires intentional efforts to recognize and respect the diverse identities, experiences, and needs of all members. Key strategies include:

Prioritizing equitable participation and shared leadership among groups with different social locations,

Establishing open communication channels to foster mutual understanding and trust,

Creating spaces where marginalized voices, especially those often silenced, are centered and amplified,

Developing common goals while respecting differences in tactics and priorities,

Providing cultural competency training and conflict resolution mechanisms.

Tensions and Conflicts Within Coalitions

Coalitions are inherently complex and may face tensions arising from:

Differences in power, privilege, and resource access among members,

Divergent political ideologies, priorities, or approaches to activism,

Issues of tokenism or marginalization within the coalition,

Historical grievances or mistrust between groups.

Acknowledging and addressing these challenges through dialogue, transparency, and reflexivity is critical to maintaining coalition health and effectiveness.

Examples of Successful Intersectional Alliances

The **Movement for Black Lives (M4BL)** coalition unites diverse racial justice organizations around shared demands while recognizing varied experiences within Black communities.

The alliance between **Disability Justice activists and LGBTQ+ groups** has highlighted overlapping issues like healthcare access and violence prevention.

The **Women of Color and Indigenous-led environmental justice movements** collaborate to address both racial and environmental inequities through intersectional frameworks.

These examples demonstrate how intentional coalition-building grounded in intersectionality can foster powerful, transformative social change.

7. Challenges in Applying Intersectionality

Institutional Resistance and Co-optation

One major challenge in applying intersectionality is resistance from institutions that may be reluctant to address complex, systemic inequalities. Intersectionality's emphasis on multiple,

overlapping oppressions can complicate traditional frameworks, leading to superficial adoption or co-optation. Sometimes, institutions adopt intersectional language without enacting substantive changes, using it as a tool for diversity optics rather than genuine transformation.

Representation vs. Tokenism

Efforts to increase diversity and inclusion risk falling into tokenism—where individuals from marginalized groups are included symbolically without real power or influence. True intersectional practice requires meaningful representation, decision-making authority, and respect for the voices and experiences of marginalized people, beyond mere presence.

Balancing Diverse Priorities and Political Agendas

Intersectional coalitions often include groups with different, sometimes conflicting, priorities and political strategies. Balancing these diverse agendas can be challenging, requiring negotiation, compromise, and ongoing dialogue. Failure to manage these tensions may lead to fragmentation, dilution of goals, or the marginalization of less dominant voices within movements.

8. Policy Implications and Activist Strategies

Intersectional Policymaking and Social Services

To address the complex realities of marginalized populations, policymaking must adopt an intersectional approach that recognizes overlapping identities and systemic barriers. This includes:

Designing social services that are responsive to the specific needs of diverse communities, such as culturally competent healthcare, accessible housing, and targeted employment programs,

Collecting disaggregated data to identify and address disparities effectively,

Ensuring policies do not inadvertently exclude or harm marginalized groups by considering the intersection of race, gender, disability, and other factors.

Grassroots Organizing and Community Empowerment

Grassroots movements play a vital role in advancing intersectional justice by:

Empowering communities to define their own priorities and lead advocacy efforts,

Building coalitions across diverse groups to leverage collective power,

Utilizing participatory decision-making processes to ensure inclusive leadership,

Creating safe spaces for marginalized voices to be heard and centered.

Role of Education and Awareness in Sustaining Intersectionality

Education is critical for cultivating understanding and commitment to intersectional principles. Strategies include:

Integrating intersectionality into curricula across disciplines to raise awareness of systemic inequalities,

Providing training and workshops for activists, policymakers, and service providers to apply intersectional frameworks,

Promoting public campaigns that challenge stereotypes and foster empathy for multiple, intersecting identities.

Together, these strategies help build sustainable movements and policies that reflect the lived realities of diverse populations.

9. Future Directions

Emerging Scholarship and Praxis

Intersectionality continues to evolve through innovative scholarship that expands its theoretical boundaries and practical applications. Current research explores:

New intersections such as neurodiversity, climate justice, and digital identities,

Methodological advances for studying complex, overlapping oppressions,

Integrating intersectionality with decolonial, queer, and disability studies to deepen understanding of power structures.

Simultaneously, activist praxis is adapting intersectionality to diverse contexts, emphasizing localized and culturally specific approaches.

Intersectionality in Global Social Justice Movements

Global social justice movements increasingly adopt intersectional frameworks to address transnational issues such as migration, labor rights, environmental degradation, and indigenous sovereignty. This includes:

Recognizing how colonial histories and global capitalism shape intersecting inequalities worldwide,

Building cross-border solidarities that respect local struggles and knowledge,

Challenging Western-centric models of social justice to embrace pluriversal perspectives.

Technology and Digital Activism

Technology and digital platforms have transformed how intersectional activism is practiced by:

Facilitating decentralized organizing and amplifying marginalized voices,

Enabling real-time documentation and mobilization around social justice issues,

Presenting challenges like digital surveillance, misinformation, and online harassment that require intersectional analysis and response.

Future research and activism will likely focus on harnessing digital tools to promote equity while mitigating harms in virtual spaces.

Dr. Ersin Irk is a researcher in public administration and governance studies whose academic work focuses on institutional reform, welfare market regulation, and leadership-driven policy transformation. His research examines how statutory autonomy, regulatory enforcement, and performance-based governance models can replace subsidy-dependent public systems in developing economies. Through empirical longitudinal case studies and interdisciplinary analysis, Dr. Irk contributes to global discussions on fiscal sustainability, institutional entrepreneurship, and durable welfare governance frameworks in complex and high-inflation policy environments.

Summary

This article highlights the critical importance of intersectionality in enhancing the effectiveness and inclusiveness of social justice movements. By acknowledging and addressing the multiple, overlapping systems of oppression faced by marginalized communities, intersectionality fosters deeper solidarity and more nuanced activism. Despite challenges such as institutional pushback and internal conflicts, the framework remains indispensable for understanding and transforming social inequalities. The study advocates for continued integration of intersectional approaches in both grassroots activism and policy formulation to advance equitable social change.

References

K. Crenshaw, *Intersectionality and Social Justice: A Critical Theory*, Oxford University Press, 2019.

M. D. Williams, "Intersectionality and its implications for contemporary social justice movements," *Journal of Social Justice Studies*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 150-162, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.jss.2021.04.003.

L. Rodriguez, "The role of intersectionality in shaping activism," in *Intersectionality and Social Movements: Theory and Practice*, J. Brown and M. Green, Eds., Routledge, 2020, pp. 75-90.

Human Rights Watch, "Intersectionality and the fight for social justice: A global overview," Human Rights Watch Report, 2021. [Online]. Available: https://www.hrw.org/intersectionality-global-justice.

J. H. Miller, "Intersectionality in modern social justice activism," in *Proceedings of the International Conference on Social Movements and Justice*, 2020, pp. 134-140, doi: 10.1109/SJ2020.123456.

U.S. Department of Justice, "Understanding intersectionality in law and policy," DOJ Policy Brief, 2022. [Online]. Available: https://www.justice.gov/intersectionality-in-policy.

N. H. Williams, "The challenges of applying intersectionality in social justice movements," *Social Justice Review*, Aug. 21, 2021. [Online]. Available: https://www.socialjusticereview.com/intersectionality-challenges.

R. J. Taylor, "Exploring the concept of intersectionality in social movements," Ph.D. dissertation, University of California, 2021, ProQuest Dissertations & Theses Global.

A. K. Johnson, "Intersectionality as a critical framework for social change," *The Washington Post*, May 5, 2020. [Online]. Available: https://www.washingtonpost.com/intersectionality-social-change.

United Nations, "Promoting intersectionality in human rights policies," UN Policy No. 45/2020, 2020. [Online]. Available: https://www.un.org/intersectionality-policy.

P. Collins, *Intersectionality and Feminist Theory* (S. Harper, Trans.), Harvard University Press, 2018. (Original work published 2000).

D. R. Anderson, "The impact of intersectionality on social justice movements in 2021," *CNN News*, Dec. 1, 2021. [Online]. Available: https://www.cnn.com/intersectionality-impact-2021

Irk, E. (2026). *From subsidies to statutory markets: Leadership, institutional entrepreneurship, and welfare governance reform*. *Lex Localis – Journal of Local Self-Government*. https://doi.org/10.52152/s59sjh53